

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.854 OF 2006

Shaefali Jain .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Kumar Tolani i/b. Nankani & Associates
Mr.Rajesh More, A.P.P. for respondent

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 4th December 2006.

P.C.

. Applicant is original accused and had preferred Criminal Application No.7963 of 2005 in this Court with a request to enlarge her on bail.

2. That request was granted. She has been enlarged on bail and present application is filed for relaxation of condition (ii) imposed while granting bail.

3. The submission is that the order granting bail has been delivered on 12th December 2005 and it is nearly a year and the applicant being a lady/woman accused has been reporting on every Thursday and Sunday between 5.00 p.m. and 7.00 p.m. as directed therein. However, she is working woman and it is not convenient for her to report as directed.

4. Mr.Mirza, learned A.P.P. upon instructions makes a statement that the charge sheet would be filed expeditiously. In such circumstances, he states that the attendance of applicant is necessary.

5. In my view, applicant has attended and there is no dispute in that behalf. The order is delivered on 12th December 2005. In such circumstances, interest of both sides would be protected if the condition is relaxed to the extent that the applicant shall report to G.B.C.B., C.I.D, E.O.W. Mumbai once a week on every Saturday between 4.00 p.m. and 6.00 p.m. Application disposed of.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)